UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,475	06/05/2006	Dirk Muhlhoff	3081.150US01	3180	
21115	7590 11/14/200 THUENTE, SKAAR &	EXAMINER			
4800 IDS CENTER			GREECE, JAMES R		
80 SOUTH 8TI MINNEAPOLI	H STREET S, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			60,475	MUHLHOFF ET	MUHLHOFF ET AL.			
			iner	Art Unit				
			S R. GREECE	2873				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears of	n the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause th	THIS COMMUNIC no event, however, may a re and will expire SIX (6) MONT e application to become ABA	CATION. Apply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 16 July 200	8					
′=	,	b)⊠ This action						
′=		/ —		ers incosecution as to th	e merits is			
ا ا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	o undor Ex parte	, quayio, 1000 0.D.	11, 100 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 35-52</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 35-52</u> are subject	to restriction and	or election requirer	ment.				
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer						
•	-		or b)□ objected to b	ov the Examiner				
10/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pnation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/560,475 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The examiner acknowledges the election of species with traverse of species C, however the examiner believes this election is incomplete as at least claims 16-17, 39-40, and 50-52 belong to distinct species including devices/methods including 1, 2, or 3 different configurations of light bundle distribution. The election is therefore seen to be defective. As a courtesy to the applicant the examiner is providing a new restriction and election of species. This restriction requirement should clarify the requirement and allow the applicant to elect a proper invention/species.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-17, drawn to a device for determining a movement of an eye.

Group II, claim(s) 35-52, drawn to a method for determining a movement of an eye.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The method of group 2 can be accomplished utilizing a materially different device. For example the type of distance determining unit is not necessarily required to include the interferometer as described in the device of group 1.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Page 3

The species are as follows:

Species A: A device for determining a movement of an eye including a single bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species B: A device for determining a movement of an eye including a two bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species C: A device for determining a movement of an eye including a three bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species D: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a single bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species E: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a two bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species F: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a three bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species G: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a therapeutic beam.

Species H: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a rotating reflective surface.

Species I: A device for determining a movement of an eye including a rotating reflective surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,475

Art Unit: 2873

Species J: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a linear movement reflective surface.

Page 4

Species K: A device for determining a movement of an eye including a linear movement reflective surface.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1 and 35.

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The above cited species recite mutually exclusive subject matter including interferometer, optical coherence technology, reflector constructs, strongly dispersive focusing optics, spectrometers, and color-corrected ray bumdle forming optics to only name a few of the mutually exclusive aspects of the applicant's recited species. Each of these limitations due to their mutually exclusive subject matter would require separate searches in divergent US Classes/Subclasses therefore constituting a burdensome search.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES R. GREECE whose telephone number is (571)272-3711.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. R. G./ James R Greece Examiner, Art Unit 2873 11/7/2008

/Joseph Martinez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873